

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/892,834	Applicant(s) HANCOCK ET AL.	
	Examiner Carlos Lopez	Art Unit 1731	

All Participants:

 (1) Carlos Lopez.

 (2) Marc Richards.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 July 2003
Time: 4pm
Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

22-46

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

In order to advance prosecution of the instant application, Applicant's representative agreed to the cancellation of claims 22-31, 41-46. Additionally applicant's representative pointed to the grammar error at page 19 line 5 and suggested to delete "such as"

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)